**Enum in Rust:**

Enums in Rust provide a powerful way to represent a value that can be one of several possible variants, making them more appropriate than structs in scenarios involving mutually exclusive options. For instance, an IP address can be either IPv4 or IPv6, but not both simultaneously. Rust’s enum enables defining such mutually exclusive variants with associated data in a clean and type-safe manner.

**Defining and Using Enums**

An enum groups variants under a common type. For example:
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Here, both V4 and V6 variants can hold address data directly. This eliminates the need for separate structs and results in more concise code compared to combining a struct with an enum field.
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Here, it’s also easier to see another detail of how enums work: the name of each enum variant that we define also becomes a function that constructs an instance of the enum. That is, IpAddr::V4() is a function call that takes a String argument and returns an instance of the IpAddr type.

Enums can also carry several types or amounts of data per variant, offering greater flexibility. For instance, V4 addresses can be stored as four u8 values, while V6 can be a String like this case:
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We attach data to each variant of the enum directly, so there is no need for an extra struct like this:
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**Real-World Example: The Standard Library’s IpAddr**

The Rust standard library defines IpAddr as:
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This code illustrates that you can put any kind of data inside an enum variant: strings, numeric types, or structs, for example. You can even include another enum! Also, standard library types are often not much more complicated than what you might come up with.

**Complex Enum Example: Message**

Rust allows defining enums with **mixed data structures** across variants. For example:
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Each variant holds a different type and quantity of data. This is functionally equivalent to using multiple structs but unified under a single type (Message). The alternative would be:
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But if we used the different structs, each of which has its own type, we couldn’t easily define a function to take any of these kinds of messages as we could with Message enum defined above, which is a single type.

**Option Enum: A Safer Alternative to Null**

Rust does not support null values, unlike many other languages. Instead, it provides the Option<T> enum to represent a value that may or may not be present:

A computer code with text

AI-generated content may be incorrect.

The Option<T> enum in Rust used to represent a value that can either be something (Some) or nothing (None). This helps avoid common null-related bugs by requiring developers to explicitly handle the absence of values. For instance:
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The type of some\_number is Option<i32>. The type of some\_char is Option<char>, which is a different type. Rust can infer these types because we’ve specified a value inside the Some variant. For absent\_number, Rust requires us to annotate the overall Option type: the compiler can’t guess what type of Option<T> you meant by looking only at a None value. Here, we tell Rust that we mean for absent\_number to be of type Option<i32>.

When we have a Some value, we know that a value is present and the value is held within the Some. When we have a None value, in some sense it means the same thing as null: we don’t have a valid value. So why is having Option<T> any better than having null?

In short, because Option<T> and T (where T can be any type) are different types, the compiler won’t let us use an Option<T> value as if it were definitely a valid value. For example, this code won’t compile, because it’s trying to add an i8 to an Option<i8>:
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This design ensures that potential absence is explicitly managed, improving safety and reliability. To use the inner value, one must match or unwrap:
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This forces developers to consider all possible cases at compile time.

**The match Control Flow Construct**

In Rust, the match expression is a powerful control flow construct that allows developers to compare a value against a series of patterns and execute code depending on which pattern matches. Unlike a traditional if expression that only evaluates Boolean conditions, match can operate on any type and supports rich pattern matching. Each match expression must be exhaustive, meaning all possible cases must be accounted for, either explicitly or using a wildcard. This is the switch case version of Rust but better and safety than in C.

To demonstrate how match works, consider the Coin enum:
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Here, the match expression evaluates the variant of Coin and returns its value in cents. Each arm has a pattern followed by an arrow and the corresponding value to return. This is concise and readable, and if a pattern matches, its associated block is executed.

To include additional logic in a match arm, multiple lines of code can be enclosed in { }:
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Patterns in match can also extract values from enum variants. Suppose the Quarter variant includes data about a U.S. state:
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When a quarter is matched, the state name is extracted and used within the code block.

This mechanism also applies to Option<T>. For instance, to add one to a number wrapped in an Option, we use:
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When passed Some(5), this returns Some(6). If passed None, it returns None. This structure ensures safety by handling both cases.

Rust enforces that all cases are handled. For example, the following will not compile because it omits the None case:
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The compiler will throw an error about non-exhaustive patterns, ensuring you cannot accidentally ignore possible cases like None.
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To handle many cases with a default, match supports a catch-all pattern. For instance, in a game logic scenario:
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If only specific values like 3 or 7 matter, and all others share a default action, a variable like other can capture unmatched values.

When the unmatched value is irrelevant and you don’t want to use this value, use the underscore \_ pattern:
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If no action is needed, you can use the unit value ():
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This confirms to Rust that all cases are covered, even if some do nothing.

**Concise Control Flow with if let and let else.**

In Rust, concise control flow can be achieved using if let and let...else, which are convenient alternatives to match when you care about only one pattern and want to ignore the rest.

For example, consider the following code that prints a message only if a value is Some:
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This uses a match to handle the Some variant and ignore None. However, writing \_ => () introduces unnecessary boilerplate. A cleaner alternative is if let, which achieves the same behavior more concisely:
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The if let syntax matches the Some(max) pattern and executes the block only if the match succeeds. It reduces indentation and verbosity, but it sacrifices the exhaustive checking that match enforces. This trade-off is acceptable when only one case is relevant.

You can also pair if let with else, effectively replacing the \_ arm in a match. For example, if you want to print the state of a U.S. quarter and count all other coins:
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This is functionally equivalent to using match, but cleaner when the focus is on a single pattern.

**Staying on the “Happy Path” with let...else**

In more complex logic, such as evaluating a coin based on the age of a U.S. state, if let can become harder to read when it nests other conditions: A screen shot of a computer code
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Alternatively, one might extract the matched value and return early in the else block: A screen shot of a computer code
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While this version makes the main logic clearer, it splits control flow between two branches, which can reduce readability. One branch of the produces a value (extract the state from the Quarter variant and assign it to a new variable called state if the pattern matches), and the other one returns from the function entirely.

To improve clarity in such cases, Rust provides let...else. This syntax allows extracting values directly and returning early when the pattern does not match:
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This approach keeps the function on the "happy path," meaning the main logic flows linearly without nested conditionals, and early exits are handled cleanly in the else clause. The let...else construct is especially useful when early returns simplify control flow and improve readability.